



16w

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<b>TRANSMITTAL FORM</b>  (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	10/644,693	
	Filing Date	August 20, 2003	
	First Named Inventor	Anderson	
	Art Unit	6718	
	Examiner Name	Uyen-Chau N. Le	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	5	Attorney Docket Number	AAIR-1-1005

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	Copy of Office Communication
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	Response to Office Communication
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	Return receipt postcard
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Remarks	
<b>SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT</b>		
Firm Name	BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM PLLC	
Signature		
Printed name	Lawrence D. Graham	
Date	October 19, 2004	Reg. No. 40,001

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:		
Signature		
Typed or printed name	Tricia Walker	Date October 19, 2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicants: Jeffrey L. Anderson

Attorney Docket No. AAIR-1-1005

Serial No.: 10/644,693

Group Art Unit: 6718

Filing Date: August 20, 2003

Examiner: Uyen-Chau N. Le

Title: AIRPORT CHECK-IN SYSTEM AND METHOD

RESPONSE TO OFFICE COMMUNICATION  
TO THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS:

**RECORD OF INTERVIEW**

This is responsive to the Office Communication mailed September 15, 2004.

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW:**

The applicant thanks the Examiner for the courteous brief telephonic interview, and further for completing an Interview Summary Form. During the interview Applicant and the Examiner discussed the draft response submitted at that time and containing the same position set forth in the response. The Examiner indicated that the response was persuasive and further searching would be required.

If any further information is required, the Examiner is invited to telephone Applicants' attorney at the phone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM<sup>PLLC</sup>

Lawrence D. Graham

Registration No. 40,001

Direct Dial: 206.381.3304

25315

CUSTOMER NUMBER

-1-

AAIR-1-1005ROC

BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM<sup>PLLC</sup>


701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4800  
Seattle, Washington 98104  
206.381.3300 • F: 206.381.3301



MAIL CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this communication is being deposited with the United States Postal Service via first class mail under 37 C.F.R. § 1.08 on the date indicated below addressed to: MAIL STOP AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

10.19.04  
Date of Deposit

  
Pricia Walker

25315  
CUSTOMER NUMBER

- 2 -  
AAIR-1-1005ROC

BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM<sup>PLLC</sup>  
  
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4800  
Seattle, Washington 98104  
206.381.3300 • F: 206.381.3301

**Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/644,693

Applicant(s)

ANDERSON, JEFFREY L.

Examiner

Uyen-Chau N. Le

Art Unit

2876

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Uyen-Chau N. Le.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Lawrence D. Graham.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 September 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yamazaki and Manabe.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: After carefully reviewing the proposal argument faxed to the examiner by Mr. Graham on 06 September 2004, the examiner indicated to Mr. Graham that the argument is persuasive and upon receiving a formal response, further search and considerations will be made.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
MICHAEL G. LEE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required